



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/437,560	11/10/1999	DAVID J. KURLANDER	662005.469C1	8160	
27195 7	590 07/29/2004		, E	EXAMINER	
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP		PILLAI, NAMITHA			
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET		NIEK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND OF 44114			2172		

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Application No. Applicant(s) KURLANDER ET AL. 09/437,560 **Advisory Action** Examiner Art Unit Namitha Pillai 2173 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other: BEST AVAILABLE COPY

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

**Advisory Action** 

JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210%. 21 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not persuasive. The disclosure of a compiler used in compiling a set of data that provides a set of instructions for determining the output, wherein these set of instructions as disclosed in the claims are very similar to a state machine which would provide a means for a controller. The reference clearly teaches the use of state machines, wherein a set of instructions are used that rely on inputs and current outputs to follow a sequence to determine the output that will occur to the screen based on following these instructions, wherein this would represent the "user interface output controller". The addition of a compiler would then be an inherent process, and as disclosed in the reference wherein a step would be needed for transforming any set of data into a set of instructions or to "compile" together a set of data to be used as the "user interface output controller". The concept of using a compiler to develop a set of data to be used is quiet common in the computing field and is disclosed in the reference. Any data that is processed such as the state machine/user interface output controller would have to go through a compilation process to be further used to determine the output..

BEST AVAILABLE COPY